# Distribution of inorganic N fractions and N availability indices in the rice soils of Meghalaya

# K. Laxminarayana<sup>\*1</sup> and Sanjeeb Bharali

\*Division of Soil Science, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya-793 103, India

# ABSTRACT

The potentially mineralizable N was evaluated by different chemical oxidation methods in 53 rice soils representing from six districts of Meghalaya. A wide variation in dry matter yield and N uptake was observed in the sampled, ranging from 13.7 - 55.8 g pot<sup>-1</sup> and 155 - 1527 mg pot<sup>-1</sup> with a yield and uptake response of 13 - 91 and 28 - 171 per cent, respectively. The ammoniacal N in the soils ranged from 28 - 207 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the nitrate N ranged from 3 - 44 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (mean 22 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Highest available N was recorded with dichromate oxidation that ranged from 1004 - 4390 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the alkaline permanganate and acid permanganate extractable N recorded 182 - 603 and 157 - 470 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> and acid KMnO<sub>4</sub> extractable N showed significantly higher relationship with all the plant growth parameters over the other extraction methods and the critical limits were found to be 320 and 286 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The fertilizer recommendations for rice have to be modified so as to enhance the N use efficiency in the soils of Meghalaya.

Key words: rice, soil, inorganic N fractions, N availability, biological indices, Meghalaya

Rice is the major food crop in Meghalaya and occupies 80 % of the gross cropped area (Anonymous, 2003). Meghalaya ranks third in total fertilizer consumption (3.86 thousand tones) and nutrient consumption per unit gross cropped area (15 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) among the North Eastern states with higher consumption of N fertilizers (FAI, 2001). The fertilizer ratings for various crops are being advocated based on organic matter status of the soils by the soil testing laboratories (STLs) even in the hilly areas (Verma et al., 1980), which does not represent the mineralizable N status of the soils. The potentially mineralizable N in the soil rich in organic matter is low and needs efficient and judicious management of N fertilizers in order to enhance the efficiency of added fertilizers as well as to minimize the expenditure on fertilizer inputs. In this context, the present investigation was carried out to evaluate different N availability indices in order to find out the most reliable and rapid soil test method for determining the available N status and to establish the critical limits to predict the crop response to applied N in the soils of Meghalaya.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bulk soil samples (0 - 30 cm depth) were collected during 2003-05 from 53 locations representing rice growing areas from 6 districts of Meghalaya *viz.*, Ri-Bhoi - 21, Jaintia Hills - 6, East Khasi Hills - 8, West Khasi Hills - 6, West Garo Hills - 7 and South Garo Hills - 5. The soils were processed and analysed for textural components by Robinson Pipette method (Piper, 1966) and other chemical properties as per the standard methods outlined by Jackson (1973).

Inorganic N fractions (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) in the soils were extracted with 2.0M KCl solution and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N was determined by steam distillation using MgO and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content after NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N distillation in the same extract by adding Devarda's alloy (Bremner, 1965). The sum of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was taken as mineral N. Organic carbon was estimated by the method of Walkley and Black (1934). Total N was determined by Kjeldahl method as described by Jackson (1973). Nitrogen availability in the soils was estimated by four chemical oxidation methods (Alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>, acid

Present address: <sup>1</sup>Senior Scientist, Regional Centre of CTCRI, Dumduma Housing Board, Bhubaneswar, 751019

 $KMnO_4$ ,  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  and  $H_2O_2$ ). Alkaline  $KMnO_4$ -N was extracted by distillation of soil with  $KMnO_4$  and NaOH (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). Acid  $KMnO_4$ -N was extracted by shaking the soil with 0.1N  $KMnO_4$  in 1.0N  $H_2SO_4$  followed by steam distillation (Stanford and Smith, 1978). In chromic acid method, oxidation of organic matter with  $K_2Cr_2O_7$  and dilution with  $H_2SO_4$ followed by steam distillation was undertaken (Sharma and Sud, 1980). In  $H_2O_2$  method, the soil was oxidized with 30 %  $H_2O_2$  followed by extraction with 2.0M KCl and distillation with NaOH (Sahrawat, 1982).

Five kg each of the processed soil was potted, soaked, thoroughly mixed with water and kept for submergence for 10 days. Two levels of N @ 0 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of urea were applied in three replications in a completely randomized block design. A uniform dose of P (a) 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of single super phosphate at basal and K (a) 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the form of muriate of potash in two equal splits at basal and 30 days after transplanting (DAT) were applied. The N was applied in three equal split doses *i.e.* at 0, 15 and 30 DAT. Rice (cv Shah Sarang-1) seedlings of 30 days old were transplanted at 2 seedlings hill-1 with hills in a pot. The water level was maintained throughout the experiment and the plants were taken at 60 DAT, washed thoroughly, oven dried and the dry matter yield (DMY) was recorded. The plant samples were ground, digested in conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and analysed

for N content by steam distillation (Humphries, 1956) and the N uptake was computed. Bray's per cent yield (% Y) and per cent uptake (% U), per cent yield/ uptake response were calculated as

Bray's % Y / % U = {(Control yield/ uptake)/ (Treatment yield/ uptake)} x 100

Per cent yield / uptake response = {(Treatment yield/ uptake - Control yield/ uptake) / (Control yield/ uptake)} x 100

Simple linear correlations were worked out between N availability indices and plant growth parameters. Step down regression equations were worked out between N availability indices with inorganic N fractions and soil properties. Critical limits for available N for the suitable methods were derived by plotting scatter diagram between Bray's per cent yield/uptake versus soil test values (Cate and Nelson, 1965).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The soils varied widely in texture with a clay content of 11.2 - 41.6 % with highest mean clay content (30.1 %) in the soils of West Khasi Hills, while it was the lowest in the soils of West Garo Hills (25.2 %) and the soils belong to clay loams-18, sandy clay loams-14, loams-14 and sandy loams-7 and representing Ultisols, Inceptisols, Entisols and Alfisols (Table 1). The soils were strongly to moderately acidic (pH 4.27 - 5.56)

Location/ District	No. of		Textural component (%)			pН	Available nutrient (kg ha-1)		
	soil samples	G Coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	Clay		N	Р	K
Ri-Bhoi	21	12.0-29.8 (20.9)	16.9-36.3 (27.1)	16.0-34.0 (24.2)	18.8-40.0 (27.5)	4.27-5.02 (4.68)	188-521 (303)	5.94-20.16 (11.55)	104-286 (174)
Jaintia hills	6	12.4 <b>-</b> 23.5 (17.5)	24.8-34.4 (30.0)	20.8-36.8 (26.2)	19.2-33.6 (25.9)	4.39-4.77 (4.50)	414-603 (493)	7.39-24.75 (13.55)	279-392 (343)
East khasi hills	8	10.6-37.0 (18.6)	20.8-37.4 (28.2)	12.8-35.2 (27.2)	11.2-41.6 (25.5)	4.36-4.81 (4.58)	301-583 (466)	12.8-27.8 (18.34)	125-403 (262)
West khasi hills	6	12.1 <b>-</b> 27.3 (18.7)	14.2-37.7 (23.9)	19.2 <b>-</b> 38.4 (26.7)	24.0-32.6 (30.1)	4.35-4.85 (4.57)	289-564 (406)	7.22-13.22 (9.94)	115-370 (256)
West garo hills	7	9.8-22.8 (17.3)	12.7-53.0 (32.7)	16.0-40.0 (24.3)	16.0-35.4 (25.2)	4.73-5.42 (5.14)	220-295 (254)	5.26-12.54 (8.65)	76-211 (110)
South garo hills	5	10.7 <b>-</b> 24.6 (15.2)	31.6-51.3 (39.3)	11.2-22.4 (17.8)	22.4-32.8 (27.1)	4.34-5.56 (4.74)	182-383 (253)	5.82-14.78 (9.54)	71-198 (134)
Mean	-	18.9	29.1	24.5	27.0	4.70	349.9	11.79	202.5
SEm (±)	-	0.78	1.17	0.93	0.83	0.04	16.65	0.73	13.42

 Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of rice soils of Meghalaya

Values in parentheses indicate the mean values

#### Inorganic N fractions in the rice soils of Meghalaya

and having 0.61 - 5.28 % of org. C, 0.084 - 0.501 % total N, 182 - 603, 5.26 - 27.78 and 71 - 403 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of available N, P and K, respectively.

Application of N @ 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded a dry matter yield of 13.65 - 55.77 g pot<sup>-1</sup> with a yield response of 13-91 percent across all the soils (Table 2). Higher yield response to the applied N was observed in the soils of West Garo Hills, Ri-Bhoi and South Garo Hills. The N uptake in the soils ranged from 155 - 1171 mg pot<sup>-1</sup> in control pots and 378 - 1567 mg pot<sup>-1</sup> with the application of 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The response in terms of N uptake ranged from 28 - 171 percent due to application of N over the control. The effect of soils, N levels and the interaction between soils and nitrogen on DMY and N uptake was found to be significant. It was found that the relative yield (% Y) ranged from 52 - 88 % and the relative uptake (% U) varied from 37 -78 %. Though some of the soils contained higher amount of available N, rice plants gave significantly higher yield and uptake response to the applied N fertilizers, which may be due to lower mineralization of N as influenced by soil and climatic conditions of the region. Lower response to externally added N may be due to wide variation in native soil fertility and accumulation of more organic matter in the valley areas,

where the lowland paddy being extensively cultivated in the North Eastern states.

The ammoniacal N in the soils ranged from 28 - 207 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The soils of East Khasi Hills recorded higher amount of NH<sub>4</sub>-N (82 - 207 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by West Khasi Hills (57 - 188 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Jaintia Hills (69 - 132 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Highest amount of NO<sub>2</sub>-N (13.5 - 43.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the soils of East Khasi Hills followed by Jaintia Hills  $(15.7 - 37.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ . The mineral N was found to be highest (119 - 213 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the soils of East Khasi Hills over the other districts. Ammoniacal N was the dominant fraction of mineral N and it constituted 80% of the mineral N in the rice soils of Meghalaya. Higher levels of ammoniacal and mineral N was observed in the soils of East Khasi Hills and Jaintia Hills, which may be due to application of higher doses of N fertilizers in rabi season for vegetable crops and subsequently its utilization by kharif paddy. Presence of higher organic matter (4.1 - 5.4 %) in these areas also favoured the retention of various inorganic and organic forms of N and mineralization of organic N into inorganic N fractions, led to higher amount of mineral N (Majumdar et al., 2002).

Location/ District	No. of	Dry matter yield (g pot <sup>-1</sup> )		Yield	Bray's	N uptake (	(mg pot <sup>-1</sup> )	Uptake	Bray's	
	soils	N <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>150</sub>	response (%)	% Y	N <sub>0</sub>	N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>150</sub>		% U	
Ri-Bhoi	21	15.6-45.0 (27.4)	25.8-55.8 (39.0)	19.4-89.5 (47.8)	53-84 (69)	220-936 (446)	453-1503 (801)	37.8-170.1 (90.8)	37-73 (54)	
Jaintia hills	6	22.9-28.9 (26.4)	30.0-35.6 (33.2)	13.8-44.0 (26.7)	69-88 (79)	505-683 (576)	790-938 (857)	37.2 <b>-</b> 58.0 (49.8)	63-73 (67)	
East khasi hills	8	23.8-48.4 (32.7)	32.3-54.8 (39.9)	13.3-35.6 (23.4)	74-88 (81)	340-1171 (686)	516-1527 (955)	28.3-54.2 (41.3)	65-78 (71)	
West khasi hills	6	19.1-43.9 (30.4)	31.7 <b>-</b> 51.7 (40.7)	17.7 <b>-</b> 67.7 (39.7)	60-85 (73)	400-1069 (677)	772-1465 (1093)	37.1-113.3 (69.0)	47-73 (60)	
West garo hills	7	16.9-35.9 (23.0)	28.4-50.1 (39.1)	39.4-91.2 (70.5)	52-72 (59)	201-737 (321)	418-1262 (670)	71.2-170.8 (122.0)	37-58 (46)	
South garo hills	5	13.7 <b>-</b> 26.7 (19.2)	24.8-37.3 (31.4)	27.1 <b>-</b> 90.4 (68.6)	53-79 (61)	155-470 (270)	378-809 (587)	72.1-150.9 (126.9)	40-58 (45)	
CD (P=0.05) Soils	2.83			91.98						
CD (P=0.05) N levels CD (P=0.05)	0.55			17.87						
Interaction (SxN)	4.01			130.08						

 Table 2. Effect of nitrogen on dry matter yield and N uptake by rice

Values in parentheses indicate the mean values

### □ 130 □

A wide variation in organic C content in the soils was observed which ranged from 0.61 - 5.28 % with a mean of 1.83 %. Out of 53 soils studied, 5 were medium in org. C (> 0.50 - 0.75 %) and the rest were high in org. C (> 0.75%) as per the ratings of Ghosh and Hasan (1980). Total N in the soils varied from 0.084 - 0.501 % with a mean of 0.276 % (Table 3). The available N as extracted by alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> showed medium in 30 soils (280 - 560 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), low in 18 soils (< 280 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and high in 5 soils (> 560 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and it constituted 5.65 % of the total N content of the soils which might be ascribed to lower mineralization of organic matter due to low temperature and other edaphic factors (Singh and Datta, 1988). The chromic acid oxidizable N recorded higher values of available N ranged from 1004 - 4390 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 2663 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which constituted 42.6 % of total N. The highest extractability of available N with dichromate might be due to oxidation of soil with 1.0 N K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> followed by heat of dilution with conc.  $H_2SO_4$ , distillation with strong alkali (1.0 N NaOH) and boiling tend to cause more hydrolysis and dissolution of soil organic matter. These results are in agreement with the findings of Sharma and Sud (1980) and Laxminarayana and Rajagopal (2000). High amount of dichromate oxidizable N was observed in the soils of West Khasi Hills followed by Ri-Bhoi and East Khasi Hills. The available N as extracted by acid KMnO<sub>4</sub> ranged from 157 - 470 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (mean 313 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the  $H_2O_2$  oxidation method recorded lowest values in comparison to other oxidation methods, ranged from 113 - 427 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean of 265 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Lower values of extractable N with this method might be due to instability of  $H_2O_2$ , which loses its activity on standing (Sahrawat, 1982).

Organic carbon had significantly higher relationship with all the N availability indices in the order of total N > alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N > acid KMnO<sub>4</sub>- $N > NH_4 - N > H_2O_2 - N > K_2Cr_2O_7 - N$  (Table 4). Since organic matter is the main source for total N and other N fractions and the extractants draw N from the organic pools, all the N availability indices showed positive and significant relationship with organic. C (Verma et al., 1980). Total N is the main pool for all N fractions and hence all the N extraction methods showed significant correlations with total N indicating that a part of the total N has been released by oxidative and hydrolytic action of these reagents. The available N extracted by all the oxidation methods were significantly correlated with organic. C, total N and NH<sub>4</sub>-N, indicating that the available N extracted by these reagents included all mineral N and a part of organic N (Sahrawat, 1982). Among the various oxidation methods, alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> extractable N had highly significant relationship with  $H_2O_2$ -N (r = 0.91<sup>\*\*</sup>) > acid KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (r = 0.89<sup>\*\*</sup>) > ammoniacal N (r =  $0.79^{**}$ ) > K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-N (r = 0.91<sup>\*\*</sup>).

Table 3. Inorganic N fractions and N availability indices in rice soils of Meghalaya

Location/ District (No.of soils	Org. C (%)	Total N (%)	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Mineral N	Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	Acid KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> -N	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -N
samples)						kg ha-1			
Ri-Bhoi (21)	0.95 <b>-</b> 2.77	0.216-0.370	28.2 <b>-</b> 194.4	6.3 <b>-</b> 37.6	34.5-210.1	194-521	207-408	1568-4390	151-414
	(1.45)	(0.272)	(69.9)	(20.6)	(90.5)	(303)	(282)	(3048)	(235)
Jaintia hills (6)	1.72-4.30	0.344-0.501	69.0-131.7	15.7 <b>-</b> 37.6	103.5-150.5	414-603	345-439	2258-4077	301-408
	(2.37)	(0.406)	(97.2)	(26.1)	(123.4)	(494)	(393)	(3021)	(352)
East khasi	1.55-5.28	0.339-0.496	81.6-207.0	12.5-43.9	119.2-213.2	301-583	326-452	2321-4140	201-427
hills (8)	(3.10)	(0.386)	(141.1)	(32.1)	(173.3)	(466)	(394)	(3065)	(318)
West khasi	1.65-3.15	0.210-0.378	56.5-188.3	18.8-34.5	84.7-222.8	289-565	326-470	1066-4077	263-408
hills (6)	(2.47)	(0.303)	(98.8)	(25.1)	(123.9)	(406)	(392)	(2457)	(329)
West garo	0.67-1.80	0.084-0.238	43.9-156.8	3.1-31.4	47.1-188.2	220-295	213-301	1066-2321	100-263
hills (7)	(1.24)	(0.148)	(72.6)	(15.2)	(87.8)	(254)	(252)	(1855)	(213)
South garo	0.61-1.17	0.091-0.140	28.2-125.4	6.3 <b>-</b> 18.8	34.5-141.1	182-383	157-326	1004-2070	113-307
hills (5)	(0.76)	(0.109)	(79.7)	(11.9)	(91.6)	(253)	(212)	(1355)	(198)
Mean	1.83	0.276	88.3	21.95	110.2	350	313	2663	265
SEm (±)	0.14	0.014	6.56	1.39	7.04	16.7	11.2	119.4	11.5

Parentheses indicate the mean values

□ 131 □

N availability index/ soil property	Org. C	Total N	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Mineral N	Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	Acid KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -N	$K_2 Cr_2 O_7 - N$
Org. C	-	0.79**	0.59**	0.32*	0.62**	0.74**	0.71**	0.59**	0.52**
Total N	0.79**	-	$0.47^{**}$	0.49**	0.54**	$0.77^{**}$	$0.78^{**}$	0.63**	0.71**
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	0.59**	0.48**	-	0.25	0.98**	0.79**	0.67**	0.73**	0.43*
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	$0.32^{*}$	0.49**	0.25	-	0.43*	0.41*	0.44**	0.43*	$0.32^{*}$
Mineral N	0.61**	0.54**	0.98**	0.43*	-	0.81**	0.71**	0.77**	0.46**
Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	0.74**	0.77**	0.79**	0.41*	0.81**	-	0.89**	0.91**	0.57**
Acid KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	0.71**	0.78**	0.67**	0.44**	0.71**	0.89**	-	0.83**	0.54**
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -N	0.59**	0.63**	0.73**	0.43*	0.77**	0.91**	0.83**	-	$0.42^{*}$
K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> -N	0.52**	0.71**	0.43**	0.32*	0.46**	0.57**	0.54**	$0.42^{*}$	-
Clay	0.18	0.10	0.18	0.02	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.15
pН	-0.28	-0.44**	-0.31*	-0.29	-0.35*	-0.44**	-0.38*	-0.39*	-0.36*

Table 4. Linear correlation coefficients (r) between inorganic N fractions and availability indices with soil properties

\*\* and \* Significant at 1 and 5 percent level, respectively

The NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N and other soil parameters (org. C, total N, pH and clay) contributed 83 % variation towards the alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> extractable N, while it was 82 % with the NH<sub>4</sub>-N and total N and NH<sub>4</sub>-N alone accounted for 62 % of the observed variation to the alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N. However, NH<sub>4</sub>-N and total N together accounted for 72, 51 and 64 % of the variation to the available N as extracted by acid KMnO<sub>4</sub>, acid dichromate and hydrogen peroxide, respectively (Table 5). It was found that organic C alone contributed 59 % variation to the ammoniacal N, indicating that organic matter is the main pool for N and its availability depends on the mineralization as influenced by various soil parameters. The relative contribution of different inorganic N fractions and soil parameters towards the N uptake by rice indicated that 66 % of variation was accounted by NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, total N, org. C, pH and clay content of the soil with a greater contribution from  $NH_{A}$ -N fraction (48 %). The results indicated that ammoniacal N is the major contributing fraction to the N nutrition of the crop and available N extracted by all the methods.

All the N availability indices were positively and significantly correlated with plant growth parameters (Table 6). The available N extracted by alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub> showed highly significant relationship with Bray's per cent yield ( $r = 0.78^{**}$ ) and per cent uptake ( $r = 0.76^{**}$ ), indicating its superiority in N extraction over the other methods in the rice soils of Meghalaya, similar to the findings of Gupta *et al.* (1989). Acid KMnO<sub>4</sub> extraction method was also found to be equally good in these soils as it showed highly significant relationship with control yield ( $r = 0.40^*$ ) and control N uptake ( $r = 0.77^{**}$ ). Ammoniacal N showed highly significant relation with Bray's % Y, % U, control yield and control N uptake ( $r = 0.62^{**}$ , 0.59<sup>\*\*</sup>, 0.41\* and 0.69\*\*, respectively), emphasizing its contribution in the N nutrition of rice crop. Significantly negative relationship was observed between N availability indices and percent yield/uptake response, indicating that the soils rich in available N gave lower yield and uptake response to the externally added N, whereas the soils low in available N have shown higher response in terms of yield and N uptake, which indicates that the soils low in available N responded more to applied N fertilizers than the soils with high status of available N.

The critical limit for organic C delineating high and low status in rice soils of the present study was found to be 1.70 % (Fig. 1). However, the critical limits for other chemical oxidation methods were derived as 320 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for alkaline KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (Fig. 2), 286 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for acid KMnO<sub>4</sub>-N (Fig. 3), 2525 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> -N (Fig. 4) and 258 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-N (Fig. 5).

Among all the N availability indices, alkaline  $KMnO_4$  and acid  $KMnO_4$  methods were found to be the best as they are strongly correlated with all the biological indices and can be adopted for routine analysis in the soil testing laboratories of this region. It is necessary that the existing fertility ratings needs to be modified as per the critical limits while recommending the N fertilizers for rice in Meghalaya

Dependent variable	Regression equation	$\mathbb{R}^2$
Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	$\begin{array}{l} 180.63 + 1.29^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 0.38 \ \mathrm{NO_3-N} + 9.20 \ \mathrm{Org.} \ \mathrm{C} + 502.44 \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} + 0.34 \ \mathrm{Clay} - 24.98 \ \mathrm{pH} \\ 177.24 + 1.35^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 579.03^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} - 22.72 \ \mathrm{pH} \\ 62.31^{**} + 1.37^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 602.85^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} \\ 173.84^{**} + 1.99^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} \end{array}$	0.828** 0.826** 0.823** 0.617**
Acid KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	$\begin{array}{l} 80.84 + 0.60^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 0.61 \ \mathrm{NO_3-N} + 2.93 \ \mathrm{Org.} \ \mathrm{C} + 428.35^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} + 1.20 \ \mathrm{Clay} + 2.12 \ \mathrm{pH} \\ 95.76^{**} + 0.62^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 472.50^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} + 1.20 \ \mathrm{Clay} \\ 125.60^{**} + 0.65^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 473.35^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} \\ 213.18^{**} + 1.14^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} \end{array}$	0.736** 0.732** 0.724** 0.442**
K <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> -N	996.66 + 2.93 NH <sub>4</sub> -N - 4.84 NO <sub>3</sub> -N - 196.14 Org. C + 6861.32** Total N + 11.10 Clay - 68.52 pH0.53 604.61 + 2.93 NH <sub>4</sub> -N - 191.29 Org. C + 6679.06** Total N + 11.32 Clay 890.91** + 3.10 NH <sub>4</sub> -N - 177.05 Org. C + 6599.62** Total N 958.39** + 2.07 NH <sub>4</sub> -N + 5511.20** Total N	6** 0.533** 0.527** 0.514**
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -N	$\begin{array}{l} 128.97 + 0.98^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 1.13 \ \mathrm{NO_3-N} - 6.99 \ \mathrm{Org.} \ \mathrm{C} + 271.07^* \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} + 1.26 \ \mathrm{Clay} - 15.09 \ \mathrm{pH} \ 0.669^{**} \\ 85.90^{**} + 0.98^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 1.20 \ \mathrm{NO_3-N} + 241.48^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} \\ 96.27^{**} + 0.98^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 297.12^{**} \ \mathrm{Total} \ \mathrm{N} \\ 151.24^{**} + 1.29^{**} \ \mathrm{NH_4-N} \end{array}$	0.657** 0.641** 0.537**
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	153.66 + 0.30 NO <sub>3</sub> -N + 28.74 <sup>**</sup> Org. C - 45.48 Total N + 0.58 Clay - 24.16 pH 134.38 + 0.20 NO <sub>3</sub> -N + 25.51 <sup>**</sup> Org. C + 0.61 Clay - 24.16 pH 160.51 <sup>**</sup> + 26.69 <sup>**</sup> Org. C - 25.77 pH 35.52 <sup>**</sup> + 28.87 <sup>**</sup> Org. C	0.619** 0.617** 0.611** 0.592**
N uptake	$\begin{array}{l} -386.78 + 2.28^{**}  \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 2.86  \mathrm{NO_3-N} + 19.03  \mathrm{Org.}  \mathrm{C} + 635.90^*  \mathrm{Total}  \mathrm{N} + 10.20^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} + 27.12  \mathrm{pH}  0.68  \mathrm{Clay} + 23.53^{**} + 2.36^{**}  \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 2.62  \mathrm{NO_3-N} + 735.33^{**}  \mathrm{Total}  \mathrm{N} + 10.39^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} + 238.33^{**} + 2.38^{**}  \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 856.75^{**}  \mathrm{Total}  \mathrm{N} + 10.25^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} + 21.00 + 2.61^{**}  \mathrm{NH_4-N} + 864.06^{**}  \mathrm{Total}  \mathrm{N} + 10.25^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} + 10.25^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} + 10.05^{**}  \mathrm{Clay} $	559** 0.656** 0.646** 0.583** 0.476**

 Table 5. Step down regression equations showing the relationship between inorganic N fractions and soil properties with N availability indices

\*\* and \* Significant at 1 and 5 per cent level, respectively

Table 6. Correlation coefficients (r) between inorganic N fractions and N availability indices with plant growth parameters

N availability index	Bray's % Y	Bray's % U	DMY (control)	N uptake (control)	Percent yield response	Percent uptake response
Org. C	0.61**	0.61**	0.29	0.63**	-0.59**	-0.56**
Total N	0.75**	0.74**	0.29	0.62**	-0.74**	-0.70**
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	0.62**	0.59**	0.41*	0.69**	-0.61**	-0.55**
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	0.51**	0.60**	0.15	0.39*	-0.53**	-0.57**
Mineral N	0.68**	0.66**	0.41*	0.72**	-0.68**	-0.62**
Alkaline KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	0.78**	0.76**	0.35*	0.75**	-0.77**	-0.72**
Acid KMnO <sub>4</sub> -N	0.76**	0.74**	$0.40^{*}$	0.77**	-0.75**	-0.72**
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> -N	0.68**	0.63**	0.37*	0.71**	-0.69**	-0.60**
$K_2Cr_2O_7-N$	0.49**	0.46**	0.26	0.47**	-0.50**	-0.45**

\*\* and \* Significant at 1 and 5 percent level, respectively

K. Laxminarayana and Sanjeeb Bharali







Fig. 5. Critical limit of H2O2-N in rice soils

in order to enhance the N use efficiency and to minimize the expenditure on fertilizer cost.

# REFERENCES

- Anonymous 2003. Basic Statistics of N.E. Region, North East Council, Shillong, Meghalaya.
- Bremner JM 1965. Nitrogen availability indices. In Methods of Soil Analysis (C.A. Black, Ed.) Part 2, Agronomy 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, pp 1324-45
- Cate RB Jr and Nelson LA 1965. Technical Bulletin 1, International Soil Testing Service Series, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.
- FAI 2001. Fertilizer Statistics. Fertilizer Association of India, New Delhi. Pp. 86-118
- Ghosh AB and Hasan R 1980. Nitrogen fertility status of soils of India. Fertilizer News. 25: 19-24.
- Goswami NN and Sahrawat KL 1982. Nutrient transformations in soil macronutrients. Bulletin of the Indian Society of Soil Science 12: 123-145
- Gupta RK, Dhillon NS and Dev G 1989. Critical values of soil test methods of nitrogen for rice. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 37: 749-753
- Humphries EC 1956. Mineral components and ash analysis. In Modern Methods of Plant Analysis Vol. 1, pp. 468-502
- Jackson ML 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
- Laxminarayana K and Rajagopal V 2000. Comparison of different methods for evaluation of available nitrogen. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 48: 797-802

- Majumdar B, Venkatesh MS, Satapathy KK, Kailashkumar and Patiram 2002. Effect of alternative farming systems to shifting cultivation on soil fertility. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 72(2): 122-124
- Piper CS 1966. Soil and Plant Analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay.
- Sahrawat KL 1982. Evaluation of some chemical indices for predicting mineralizable nitrogen in tropical rice soils. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 13: 363-377
- Sharma RC and Sud KC 1980. An improved rapid chromic acid method for determining total nitrogen in surface soils. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 28: 232-235
- Singh OP and Datta B 1988. Organic carbon and nitrogen status of some soils of Mizoram occurring at different altitudes. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 36: 414-420
- Stanford G and Smith SJ 1978. Oxidative release of potentially mineralizable soil nitrogen by acid permanganate extraction. Soil Science 126: 210-218
- Subbiah BV and Asija GL 1956. A rapid procedure for estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science 25: 259-263
- Verma LP, Tripathi BR and Sharma DP 1980. Organic carbon as an index to assess N status of the soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 28: 138-139
- Walkley AJ and Black IA 1934. Estimation of organic carbon by chromic acid titration method. Soil Science 37: 29-38